

Member Name

Jim Cox

Maximum score per request is **72 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Jim Cox	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
		Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of 0 OR 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	72
P A 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 7	4	0.5	0.2	0	0.5	4	5	0	5	4	6	1	5	3	4	42.2
P A 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase 7	4	0.5	0.2	0	0.5	4	5	3	5	4	6	1	5	3	4	45.2
P A 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase 6	4	0.5	0.2	0	0.5	4	5	3	5	4	6	1	5	3	4	45.2
P A 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition, Phase 6	4	0.5	0.2	0	0.5	4	5	3	5	4	6	1	5	3	4	45.2
P A 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex Phase V	4	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.5	4	5	6	5	4	6	1	5	3	4	50
P A 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection	4	0.5	0.2	1	0.5	4	5	3	5	4	6	1	5	3	4	46.2
P A 07	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water-V	1	0.1	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	4	6	1	1	2	2	23.1
P A 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley	4	1.5	1.5	0	1	4	4	4	5	4	6	1	5	2	4	47
P A 09	SW MN Riparian Woodland Habitat Protection - Phase I	4	1.2	1.3	0	1	4	3	4	5	4	6	1	5	3	4	46.5
P A 10	Protecting and Restoring Minnesota's Important Birds Areas	3	1	1.2	0	0.7	4	3	5	3	4	6	1	3	3	4	41.9
P A 11	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration -- Phase 1	1	0.2	1.2	0	0.7	2	1	2	2	3	6	1	2	3	2	27.1
P A 12	Protection of Granite Rock Outcrop Ecosystem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P RE 01	DNR Grassland Phase 7	4	0.5	1.2	0	0.5	5	4	1	4	4	6	1	5	3	3	42.2
P RE 02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands Phase II	4	0.5	1.2	0	0.5	5	4	4	4	4	6	1	5	4	4	47.2
F A 01	Young Forest Conservation: Integrated Wildlife Management (Phase II)	4	0.5	1.5	0	1.2	4	4	4	4	4	6	1	3	3	4	44.2
F A 02	Protecting Forest Wildlife Habitat in the Wild Rice River Watershed	3	0.5	1.3	0	1	4	3	4	3	2	6	1	3	3	4	38.8
F A 03	Camp Ripley ACUB Phase 5 - Buffering the Gull River WMA and protecting Mississippi/Crow Wing River	4	0.5	0.2	0	0.5	4	3	5	4	4	6	1	4	4	4	44.2
F A 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase III	4	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.70	4	4	5	4	4	6	1	5	2	4	45.2
F A 05	Protecting Pinelands Sands Forest and Aquatic Habitat Phase 2	3	0.30	1.00	0.00	0.30	3	3	3	4	4	6	1	4	3	5	40.6
F A 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands Cass County VI	3	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.30	3	3	4	4	4	6	1	4	3	5	40.7
F A 07	State Forest Acquisition Phase 3 - Protecting Forest Habitat in the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest	4	0.2	0.3	0	0.2	3	4	3	4	4	6	1	5	4	4	42.7
F A 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program: Phase 3	3	0.2	0.3	0	0.2	3	3	4	3	4	6	1	3	4	3	37.7

Project ID	Jim Cox	1.The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust.	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
F A 09	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Partnership	3	0.5	1	0	1	3	3	4	5	4	6	0	4	3	5	42.5
F RE 01	Southeast forest habitat enhancement	2	0.5	1	0	0	3	2	2	1	4	6	0	2	3	1	27.5
W A 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase 7	4	1	0.5	0	1	4	5	5	5	4	6	0	4	3	5	47.5
W A 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase IV	4	1	1	0	1	4	5	5	5	4	6	0	4	4	5	49
W A 03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase IV	4	0.75	1	0	1	4	3	4	3	4	6	0	4	3	5	42.75
W RE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement, Phase 7	2	0.2	0.5	0	0.5	3	3	3	3	4	6	0	4	3	2	34.2
W RE 02	Lake Flora Wetland Trail Project	1	1	0.2	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	1	0	3	4	1	19.2
H A 01	Aquatic Habitat Protection, Restoration, and Enhancement, Phase 7	3	0.5	0.2	0	0	3	3	2	4	4	6	0	4	3	2	34.7
H A 02	Metro Big Rivers Partnership Phase 6	COI															COI
H A 03	Access to Isolated State Lands for Habitat Management	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	6	0	0	0	2	15
H A 04	Elk River Mississippi Natural Area	2	0.5	0.1	0	0.5	4	3	3	3	4	6	0	4	0	4	34.1
H RE 01	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement	4	1	0.5	0	0.2	4	5	5	4	4	6	0	4	5	5	47.7
H RE 02	Lake Bemidji South Shore Restoration and Enhancement	2	0.1	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	4	6	0	2	4	4	30.1
H RE 03	Biological Control of Invasive Plants	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	1	3	4	6	0	2	3	3	29
H RE 04	Sand Hill River Fish Passage	2	0.5	1	0	0.5	3	2	3	3	4	6	3	3	3	5	39
H RE 05	Sargent Creek Streambank Stabilization for Aquatic Habitat and Restoration	2	0.3	0.5	0	0.5	3	3	3	4	4	6	3	3	2	4	38.3
H RE 06	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program	2	0.3	0.5	0	0.1	3	3	2	3	4	6	0	3	3	2	31.9
H RE 07	Lake Nokomis Integrated Habitat Enhancements	1	0.1	0.5	0	0.1	3	1	3	3	4	6	2	2	3	4	32.7
H RE 08	Indian Beach Harbor Ecological Enhancement Mission	1	0.1	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	4	6	0	1	0	4	23.1
H RE 09	White Bear Lake	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	3	4	6	1	1	0	4	24
CPL 1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase VI	4	0.5	0	0	1	4	5	5	4	4	6	1	4	4	3	45.5
CPL 2	Metro Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase II	3	0.1	0	0	1	4	5	5	4	4	6	1	4	4	3	44.1
O 1	Contract Management	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
O 2	Restoration Evaluations	1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	1	3	3	3	4	6	3	3	0	+++++	27.4

Maximum score per request is **72 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
		Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of 0 OR 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	72
P A 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 7	6	1.5	0	0	0	6	6	5	6	6	6	0	6	0	4	52.5
P A 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase 7	6	1.5	0	0	0	6	6	6	6	6	6	0	6	6	6	61.5
P A 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase 6	6	1.5	0	0	0	6	6	5	4	6	6	0	6	6	4	56.5
P A 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition, Phase 6	6	1.5	0	0	0	6	6	5	4	6	6	0	6	6	4	56.5
P A 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex Phase V	6	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	4	4	5	4	6	6	0	6	6	5	56.5
P A 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection	6	1.5	0	0	0	6	6	0	4	6	6	0	6	0	2	43.5
P A 07	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water-V	4	0	0	0	0	3	3	5	5	6	6	0	3	6	3	44
P A 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley	3	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	4	5	5	5	6	6	0	6	3	5	52.5
P A 09	SW MN Riparian Woodland Habitat Protection - Phase I	5	1.5	1.5	0	0	3	5	6	5	6	6	0	6	1	6	52
P A 10	Protecting and Restoring Minnesota's Important Birds Areas	5	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	3	5	5	5	6	6	0	6	6	4	55.5
P A 11	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration -- Phase 1	3	0	0	0	0	3	5	5	5	6	6	0	3	6	5	47
P A 12	Protection of Granite Rock Outcrop Ecosystem	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	0
P RE 01	DNR Grassland Phase 7	5	1.5	0	0	0	3	5	0	6	6	6	0	0	0	3	35.5
P RE 02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands Phase II	5	1.5	0	0	0	3	5	6	6	6	6	0	0	0	5	43.5
F A 01	Young Forest Conservation: Integrated Wildlife Management (Phase II)	1	0	1.5	0	1.5	4	5	6	3	6	6	0	0	6	5	45
F A 02	Protecting Forest Wildlife Habitat in the Wild Rice River Watershed	5	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	3	2	3	5	6	6	0	5	5	5	49.5
F A 03	Camp Ripley ACUB Phase 5 - Buffering the Gull River WMA and protecting Mississippi/Crow Wing River	5	1.5	0	0	0	4	4	5	5	6	6	0	5	5	5	51.5
F A 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase III	5	1.5	0.0	0.00	0.00	5	5	5	5	6	6	0	5	2	4	49.5
F A 05	Protecting Pinelands Sands Forest and Aquatic Habitat Phase 2	5	1.5	1.5	0.00	0.00	3	4	5	5	6	6	0	5	1	5	48
F A 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands Cass County VI	5	1.5	0.0	0.00	0.00	3	3	5	5	6	6	0	5	2	5	46.5
F A 07	State Forest Acquisition Phase 3 - Protecting Forest Habitat in the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest	5	1.5	0	0	0	0	4	3	5	6	6	0	5	1	3	39.5
F A 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program: Phase 3	5	1.5	0	0	0	4	3	4	5	6	6	0	5	6	2	47.5



Maximum score per request is **72 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible	
		Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of 0 OR 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	
P A 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 7	5	1	0	1	1	5	5	2	5	6	6	5	5	5	0	5	72
P A 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase 7	5	1	0	0	0	5	4	3	5	6	6	5	4	1	5	50	
P A 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase 6	4	0.5	0	0.5	0	5	4	3	5	6	4	4	4	1	5	46	
P A 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition, Phase 6	4	0.5	0	0.5	0	5	4	5	5	6	6	4	4	1	5	50	
P A 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex Phase V	5	0.5	1	1	0.5	5	5	5	5	6	6	5	4	1	5	55	
P A 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection	5	0.5	0	0.5	0	5	5	1	5	6	6	5	5	0	5	49	
P A 07	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water-V	5	1	0	0	0	5	1	2	1	6	6	1	4	0	2	34	
P A 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley	5	1	1	0	1	5	4	5	4	6	6	2	4	0	5	49	
P A 09	SW MN Riparian Woodland Habitat Protection - Phase I	5	0	1	1	0	5	4	5	5	6	6	3	4	0	6	51	
P A 10	Protecting and Restoring Minnesota's Important Birds Areas	5	1	1	0.5	0.5	4	4	5	5	6	4	3	4	1	5	49	
P A 11	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration -- Phase 1	6	1	1	0	1	6	6	5	5	6	6	2	6	6	6	63	
P A 12	Protection of Granite Rock Outcrop Ecosystem																0	
P RE 01	DNR Grassland Phase 7	3	0.5	0.5	0	0	2	1	3	4	6	5	1	4	0	5	35	
P RE 02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands Phase II	3	0.5	1	0	0.5	4	4	3	4	6	5	1	4	0	5	41	
F A 01	Young Forest Conservation: Integrated Wildlife Management (Phase II)	5	1	1	0	1	5	4	5	5	6	5	4	4	3	5	54	
F A 02	Protecting Forest Wildlife Habitat in the Wild Rice River Watershed	5	1	1	0	1	5	3	6	5	6	5	5	4	1	5	53	
F A 03	Camp Ripley ACUB Phase 5 - Buffering the Gull River WMA and protecting Mississippi/Crow Wing River	4	0.5	0	0.5	0	4	3	6	5	6	5	2	4	5	5	50	
F A 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase III	5	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	5	5	5	5	6	5	5	5	0	5	55	
F A 05	Protecting Pinelands Sands Forest and Aquatic Habitat Phase 2	5	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	5	3	3	5	6	6	1	4	0	5	45	
F A 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands Cass County VI	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3	2	3	3	6	4	2	1	0	3	28	
F A 07	State Forest Acquisition Phase 3 - Protecting Forest Habitat in the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest	3	1	0	0	0	5	3	3	4	6	6	3	3	0	5	42	
F A 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program: Phase 3	4	0.5	0.5	1	0	4	4	5	5	6	3	4	5	2	5	49	





Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
F A 09	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Partnership (Trust for Public Land)	6	1.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	3	6	5	4	6	6	6	6	2	6	59
F RE 01	Southeast forest habitat enhancement (DNR)	6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	5	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	2	6	66
W A 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase 7 (Pheasants Forever)	5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	2	6	4	4	6	6	6	6	6	3	58.5
W A 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase IV (Ducks Unlimited)	5	1.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	3	6	4	4	6	6	6	6	0	3	52
W A 03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase IV (BWSR)	6	1.5	0.0	1.5	0.5	2	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	0	3	55.5
W RE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement, Phase 7 (DNR)	6	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	2	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	0	6	59.5
W RE 02	Lake Flora Wetland Trail Project (City of Lake Park)	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	1	3	6	6	3	6	0	6	1	32
H A 01	Aquatic Habitat Protection, Restoration, and Enhancement, Phase 7 (DNR)	6	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.5	3	6	5	4	6	6	6	6	0	2	55
H A 02	Metro Big Rivers Partnership Phase 6 (MN Valley Trust)	5	1.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	2	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	63
H A 03	Access to Isolated State Lands for Habitat Management (DNR)	6	1.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	3	6	4	4	6	6	6	6	0	5	55
H A 04	Elk River Mississippi Natural Area (Conservation Fund)	4	1.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	6	6	4	6	6	6	6	6	3	3	59.5
H RE 01	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement (MN Trout Unlimited)	6	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	69
H RE 02	Lake Bemidji South Shore Restoration and Enhancement (City of Bemidji)	4	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	3	6	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	0	57.5
H RE 03	Biological Control of Invasive Plants (MN Dept of Ag)	3	1.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	4	6	3	6	6	6	6	6	4	4	58
H RE 04	Sand Hill River Fish Passage (Sand Hill River Watershed District)	6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	6	6	4	4	6	6	6	6	6	6	68
H RE 05	Sargent Creek Streambank Stabilization for Aquatic Habitat and Restoration (City of Duluth)	2	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	3	6	2	6	6	6	6	6	0	0	49
H RE 06	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program (Shell Rock Watershed District)	6	1.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	2	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	3	62
H RE 07	Lake Nokomis Integrated Habitat Enhancements (Minneapolis Parks & Recreation Board)	2	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	3	6	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	61.5
H RE 08	Indian Beach Harbor Ecological Enhancement Mission (Middle Fork Crow River Watershed District) (BELOW MINIMUM \$\$)	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	1	3	3	3	6	6	4	4	0	3	36.5
H RE 09	White Bear Lake (White Bear Lake Homeowners Association) (BELOW MINIMUM \$\$)	1	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0	3	3	0	6	6	2	0	0	2	23.5
CPL 1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase VI	6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	4	6	4	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	67
CPL 2	Metro Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase II	6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	4	6	4	6	6	6	6	6	5	6	67
O 1	Contract Management	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6	0	6	6	6	0	6	0	0	6	36
O 2	Restoration Evaluations	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6	0	3	6	6	0	6	0	0	6	35



Maximum score per request is **72 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
		Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of 0 OR 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	72
P A 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 7	5	1	0	0	1	4	4	3	4	5	0	4	5	0	5	41
P A 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase 7	3	1	0	0	0	2	3	4	3	5	0	4	5	1	3	34
P A 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase 6	5	1.5	0	0	1	6	4	6	5	6	6	5	6	1	4	56.5
P A 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition, Phase 6	6	1.5	0	1.5	0.5	6	5	5	6	6	0	5	6	1	6	55.5
P A 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex Phase V	6	1.5	1.5	0	1	6	5	5	4	6	0	6	6	2	6	56
P A 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection	6	1.5	1	1.5	1	6	6	6	4	6	0	6	6	0	5	56
P A 07	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water-V	6	1	0	0	0	4	4	5	4	6	0	4	5	6	5	50
P A 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley	5	1.5	1	0	1	6	3	5	5	6	6	5	6	1	6	57.5
P A 09	SW MN Riparian Woodland Habitat Protection - Phase I	3	0.5	0	0	0	3	4	4	4	6	6	3	5	0	4	42.5
P A 10	Protecting and Restoring Minnesota's Important Birds Areas	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	0
P A 11	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration -- Phase 1	4	1.5	1.5	0	1	5	5	6	5	6	5	5	6	5	5	61
P A 12	Protection of Granite Rock Outcrop Ecosystem	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	0
P RE 01	DNR Grassland Phase 7	4	1	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	6	6	2	3	0	3	37
P RE 02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands Phase II	4	1	1	0	1	4	4	4	2	6	6	3	4	0	4	44
F A 01	Young Forest Conservation: Integrated Wildlife Management (Phase II)	5	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	4	5	4	3	6	6	4	4	3	4	52.5
F A 02	Protecting Forest Wildlife Habitat in the Wild Rice River Watershed	4	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	5	3	5	5	6	0	3	4	1	5	45.5
F A 03	Camp Ripley ACUB Phase 5 - Buffering the Gull River WMA and protecting Mississippi/Crow Wing River	3	0.5	0	0	0	3	0	3	3	4	0	2	3	5	4	30.5
F A 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase III	6	1.50	1.50	1.50	1.00	5	5	6	5	6	6	4	6	0	5	59.5
F A 05	Protecting Pinelands Sands Forest and Aquatic Habitat Phase 2	3	1.50	1.50	0.00	1.00	5	4	5	3	6	0	3	5	0	5	43
F A 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands Cass County VI	3	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	4	3	4	5	6	0	5	4	0	5	40.5
F A 07	State Forest Acquisition Phase 3 - Protecting Forest Habitat in the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest	3	1	0	0	0	3	1	5	3	4	0	3	4	0	3	30
F A 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program: Phase 3	5	1.5	0.5	0	0.5	5	4	6	6	6	0	5	6	3	5	53.5







Member Name

Denny McNamara

Maximum score per request is **72 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
		Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of 0 OR 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	72
P A 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 7	5	1.5	1.5	1	1	5	5	4	5	6	4	5	5	4	4	57
P A 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase 7	5	1.5	1.5	1	1	5	5	4	5	6	4	5	5	4	4	57
P A 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase 6	5	1.5	1.5	1	1	6	5	5	6	6	4	5	5	5	5	62
P A 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition, Phase 6	5	1.5	1	1	1.5	6	5	5	5	6	4	5	5	5	5	61
P A 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex Phase V	5	1	1.5	1	1.5	4	5	4	4	6	4	5	4	3	4	53
P A 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection	3	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	6	4	4	3	3	2	45
P A 07	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water-V	6	1.5	1	1	1.5	5	5	5	6	6	4	5	6	5	5	63
P A 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley	3	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	6	4	4	3	4	5	45
P A 09	SW MN Riparian Woodland Habitat Protection - Phase I	3	1	1	1	1	3	3	4	3	6	4	3	3	3	3	42
P A 10	Protecting and Restoring Minnesota's Important Birds Areas	3	1	1	1	1	3	3	2	2	6	4	3	3	3	3	39
P A 11	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration -- Phase 1	5	1.5	1.5	1	1	4	5	5	4	6	4	5	5	5	4	57
P A 12	Protection of Granite Rock Outcrop Ecosystem	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P RE 01	DNR Grassland Phase 7	6	1.5	1.5	1	1	6	5	5	6	6	4	6	5	5	5	64
P RE 02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands Phase II	5	1.5	1.5	1	1	5	5	5	6	6	4	6	5	5	5	62
F A 01	Young Forest Conservation: Integrated Wildlife Management (Phase II)	3	1	1	1	1	3	4	3	3	6	4	3	3	3	4	43
F A 02	Protecting Forest Wildlife Habitat in the Wild Rice River Watershed	3	1	1	1	1	3	3	4	3	6	4	4	3	3	5	45
F A 03	Camp Ripley ACUB Phase 5 - Buffering the Gull River WMA and protecting Mississippi/Crow Wing River	4	1	1	1	1	3	4	3	4	6	4	4	4	5	4	49
F A 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase III	3	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	6	4	3	3	3	3	41
F A 05	Protecting Pinelands Sands Forest and Aquatic Habitat Phase 2	3	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	6	4	3	3	3	3	41
F A 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands Cass County VI	3	1	1	1	1	3	4	3	3	6	4	3	4	3	4	44
F A 07	State Forest Acquisition Phase 3 - Protecting Forest Habitat in the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest	3	1	1	1	1	3	3	2	2	6	4	3	4	3	4	41
F A 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program: Phase 3	4	1.5	1	1	1.5	4	4	3	4	6	4	4	4	3	5	50

Project ID	Project Title	1.The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust.	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
F A 09	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Partnership	4	1	1.5	1	1.5	4	5	5	4	6	4	5	4	3	5	54
F RE 01	Southeast forest habitat enhancement	4	1.5	1.5	1	1	5	5	5	5	6	4	5	4	4	5	57
W A 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase 7	5	1.5	1.5	1	1	5	5	5	5	6	4	5	5	4	5	59
W A 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase IV	4	1.5	1.5	1	1	4	5	4	5	6	4	4	4	3	5	53
W A 03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase IV	3	1	1	1	1	3	2	3	3	6	4	3	3	3	3	40
W RE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement, Phase 7	4	1	1	1	1	3	3	4	4	6	4	4	5	4	4	49
W RE 02	Lake Flora Wetland Trail Project	3	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	0	4	3	3	3	3	35
H A 01	Aquatic Habitat Protection, Restoration, and Enhancement, Phase 7	5	1.5	1.5	1	1	4	5	5	4	6	4	4	4	3	5	54
H A 02	Metro Big Rivers Partnership Phase 6	5	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	6	4	4	4	3	5	51
H A 03	Access to Isolated State Lands for Habitat Management	5	1	1.5	1	1.5	5	4	5	5	6	4	5	5	3	4	56
H A 04	Elk River Mississippi Natural Area	3	1	1	1	1	3	3	2	3	6	4	3	3	3	3	40
H RE 01	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement	6	1.5	1.5	1	1	5	5	5	5	6	4	5	5	5	5	61
H RE 02	Lake Bemidji South Shore Restoration and Enhancement	3	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	0	4	3	3	3	3	35
H RE 03	Biological Control of Invasive Plants	3	1	0.5	1	0.5	3	3	3	3	0	4	3	3	3	3	34
H RE 04	Sand Hill River Fish Passage	5	1	1	1	1	5	5	5	4	6	4	5	4	2	5	54
H RE 05	Sargent Creek Streambank Stabilization for Aquatic Habitat and Restoration	3	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	6	4	3	2	2	2	38
H RE 06	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program	4	1	1	1	1	4	3	3	3	6	4	3	2	2	4	42
H RE 07	Lake Nokomis Integrated Habitat Enhancements	3	1	1	1	1	3	2	2	2	0	4	3	2	2	3	30
H RE 08	Indian Beach Harbor Ecological Enhancement Mission	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H RE 09	White Bear Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CPL 1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase VI	6	1.5	1.5	1	1	6	4	6	5	6	4	6	6	5	5	64
CPL 2	Metro Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase II	6	1.5	1.5	1	1	6	4	6	5	6	4	6	6	5	5	64
O 1	Contract Management	4	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	6	4	4	4	4	4	50
O 2	Restoration Evaluations	4	1	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	6	4	4	4	4	4	50

Maximum score per request is **72 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
		Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of 0 OR 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	72
P A 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 7	5	1.5	1	0	0.5	4	5	5	5	6	6	4	5	1	4	53
P A 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase 7	6	1.5	1.5	0	1	5	5	5	6	6	6	5	6	4	4	62
P A 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase 6	6	1.5	0.5	0	1	5	5	4	6	6	6	5	6	2	5	59
P A 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition, Phase 6	3	1.5	0.5	0	0.5	2	4	2	4	3	3	2	4	3	3	35.5
P A 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex Phase V	6	1.5	1.5	0	1	5	5	5	4	4	6	4	5	3	4	55
P A 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection	2	1	0.5	0	0.5	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	2	3	33
P A 07	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water-V	3	1.5	1	0	0.5	3	2	4	4	3	4	2	4	4	3	39
P A 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley	6	1.5	1.5	0	1	5	6	5	6	6	6	4	6	2	4	60
P A 09	SW MN Riparian Woodland Habitat Protection - Phase I	5	1.5	1.5	0	1	5	5	5	6	6	6	4	6	2	4	58
P A 10	Protecting and Restoring Minnesota's Important Birds Areas	1	0.5	1	0	0.5	2	2	4	3	3	3	2	3	4	3	32
P A 11	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration -- Phase 1	2	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	4	4	4	6	3	3	2	3	6	4	42.5
P A 12	Protection of Granite Rock Outcrop Ecosystem	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	0
P RE 01	DNR Grassland Phase 7	4	1	0	0	0	2	3	1	3	3	3	2	3	1	2	28
P RE 02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands Phase II	4	1	1	0	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	3	35
F A 01	Young Forest Conservation: Integrated Wildlife Management (Phase II)	3	1	1	0	0.5	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	4	3	34.5
F A 02	Protecting Forest Wildlife Habitat in the Wild Rice River Watershed	1.5	1	0.5	0	0	2	2	1	3	3	3	2	3	3	2	27
F A 03	Camp Ripley ACUB Phase 5 - Buffering the Gull River WMA and protecting Mississippi/Crow Wing River	3	1	0.5	0	0.5	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	6	3	35
F A 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase III	4	1.0	0.5	0.00	0.50	2	2	3	3	3	4	2	3	2	3	33
F A 05	Protecting Pinelands Sands Forest and Aquatic Habitat Phase 2	3	1.0	1.0	0.00	0.50	3	3	4	4	4	4	2	3	3	4	39.5
F A 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands Cass County VI	3	1.5	1.0	0.00	0.50	4	5	5	6	6	6	4	6	4	4	56
F A 07	State Forest Acquisition Phase 3 - Protecting Forest Habitat in the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest	4	1.5	0.5	0	0.5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	2	3	45.5
F A 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program: Phase 3	3	1	0.5	0	0.5	2	2	3	4	3	3	2	3	4	3	34

Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target.	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
F A 09	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Partnership	2	1	0.5	0	0.5	4	3	4	4	4	5	3	4	2	4	41
F RE 01	Southeast forest habitat enhancement	2	1	0.5	0	0	2	2	3	3	2	3	3	4	2	3	30.5
W A 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase 7	5	1	1	0	0	3	3	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	48
W A 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase IV	3	1.5	1.5	0	1	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	54
W A 03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase IV	2	1	0.5	0	0.5	3	2	4	4	5	5	3	3	1	3	37
W RE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement, Phase 7	4	1.5	1	0	1	3	3	1	4	3	3	3	3	0	3	33.5
W RE 02	Lake Flora Wetland Trail Project	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4	2	3	2	3	4	4	30
H A 01	Aquatic Habitat Protection, Restoration, and Enhancement, Phase 7	3	1.5	1	0	0.5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	0	4	47
H A 02	Metro Big Rivers Partnership Phase 6	2	1.5	0.5	0	0.5	3	3	5	4	4	4	3	5	5	4	44.5
H A 03	Access to Isolated State Lands for Habitat Management	1	1.5	0.5	0	0.5	3	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	46.5
H A 04	Elk River Mississippi Natural Area	5	1.5	1	0	0.5	6	4	5	5	5	6	4	6	3	4	56
H RE 01	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement	4	1.5	1	0	0.5	3	3	4	4	5	5	3	5	6	4	49
H RE 02	Lake Bemidji South Shore Restoration and Enhancement	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	4	2	4	2	2	5	3	30
H RE 03	Biological Control of Invasive Plants	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	0	1	0	1	2	11
H RE 04	Sand Hill River Fish Passage	3	1.5	1.5	0	1	5	5	5	5	4	5	3	5	6	5	55
H RE 05	Sargent Creek Streambank Stabilization for Aquatic Habitat and Restoration	2	1	0	0	0	2	2	3	4	2	4	2	3	1	3	29
H RE 06	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program	3	1.5	1	0	0.5	3	3	4	4	5	4	3	5	4	4	45
H RE 07	Lake Nokomis Integrated Habitat Enhancements	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	2	4	3	3	4	2	24
H RE 08	Indian Beach Harbor Ecological Enhancement Mission	1	0.5	0	0	0	2	2	1	2	2	0	3	3	0	2	18.5
H RE 09	White Bear Lake	3	1.5	0	0	0	3	2	2	2	2	0	2	3	0	3	23.5
CPL 1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase VI	4	1.5	1.5	0	1	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	6	4	5	56
CPL 2	Metro Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase II	1	0.5	1.5	0	0.5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	6	4	5	51.5
O 1	Contract Management	1	0.5	1.5	0	0.5	0	6	0	6	6	6	4	6	0	6	43.5
O 2	Restoration Evaluations	1	0.5	1.5	0	0.5	0	6	0	6	6	6	4	6	0	6	43.5



Maximum score per request is **72 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
		Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of 0 OR 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	72
P A 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 7	6	1.5	0	0	1.5	3	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	1	6	54
P A 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase 7	6	1.5	0	0	1.5	3	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	1	6	54
P A 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase 6	6	1.5	0	0	1.5	6	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	1	6	57
P A 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition, Phase 6	6	1.5	0	0	0	6	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	3	6	57.5
P A 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex Phase V	6	1.5	0	0	1.5	6	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	3	6	59
P A 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection	6	1.5	0	0	0	6	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	0	6	54.5
P A 07	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water-V	6	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	3	6	6	65.5
P A 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley	6	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	6	6	6	6	6	6	4	3	1	5	59.5
P A 09	SW MN Riparian Woodland Habitat Protection - Phase I	3	1.5	0	0	0	6	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	0	6	51.5
P A 10	Protecting and Restoring Minnesota's Important Birds Areas	3	0	0	0	1.5	3	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	1	6	49.5
P A 11	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration -- Phase 1	4	0	0	0	0	6	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	4	6	55
P A 12	Protection of Granite Rock Outcrop Ecosystem	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	0
P RE 01	DNR Grassland Phase 7	6	0	0	0	1.5	5	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	0	6	53.5
P RE 02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands Phase II	3	0	0	0	0	4	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	0	6	48
F A 01	Young Forest Conservation: Integrated Wildlife Management (Phase II)	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	3	6	48
F A 02	Protecting Forest Wildlife Habitat in the Wild Rice River Watershed	6	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	4	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	1	6	56.5
F A 03	Camp Ripley ACUB Phase 5 - Buffering the Gull River WMA and protecting Mississippi/Crow Wing River	6	1.5	0	0	0	6	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	4	6	58.5
F A 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase III	6	1.50	0.00	0.00	1.50	6	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	0	6	56
F A 05	Protecting Pinelands Sands Forest and Aquatic Habitat Phase 2	4	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	0	6	50
F A 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands Cass County VI	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	1	6	48
F A 07	State Forest Acquisition Phase 3 - Protecting Forest Habitat in the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest	6	1.5	0	0	1.5	6	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	2	6	58
F A 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program: Phase 3	6	1.5	0	0	0	6	4	6	6	6	6	4	3	3	6	57.5



Maximum score per request is 72 points.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target.	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust.	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
		Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of 0 OR 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	
P A 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 7	4	1	0	1	1	1	6	5	4	6	6	3	4	2	4	48
P A 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase 7	4	1	0	0	1	1	6	3	3	6	4	5	4	4	4	46
P A 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase 6	3	1.5	0	0	1	0	6	3	1	4	6	1	1	1	6	34.5
P A 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition, Phase 6	3	1.5	0	0	1	1	6	2	1	6	6	1	1	1	4	34.5
P A 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex Phase V	3	1.5	1.5	0	1	2	6	6	1	6	6	6	6	1	3	50
P A 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection	3	1.5	1.5	0	1	5	6	2	6	6	6	1	1	0	3	43
P A 07	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water-V	4	1	0	0	1	3	6	6	5	6	6	5	4	5	4	56
P A 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley	4	1	1	1	1	5	6	4	4	6	3	3	4	2	4	49
P A 09	SW MN Riparian Woodland Habitat Protection - Phase I	3	1.5	1.5	0	1	6	6	6	3	6	6	6	6	1	2	55
P A 10	Protecting and Restoring Minnesota's Important Birds Areas	3	1.5	1.5	1	1	6	6	6	6	6	6	1	1	3	4	53
P A 11	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase 1	3	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	6	6	3	6	6	6	1	1	5	4	51.5
P A 12	Protection of Granite Rock Outcrop Ecosystem																0
P RE 01	DNR Grassland Phase 7	5	1.5	0	0	1	5	6	3	5	6	6	6	6	0	6	56.5
P RE 02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands Phase II	5	1.5	1.5	0	1	5	6	3	3	6	6	1	1	0	6	46
F A 01	Young Forest Conservation: Integrated Wildlife Management (Phase II)	6	1.5	1.5	1	1.5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	3	6	67.5
F A 02	Protecting Forest Wildlife Habitat in the Wild Rice River Watershed	6	1.5	1.5	1	1.5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	1	4	64.5
F A 03	Camp Ripley ACUB Phase 5 - Buffering the Gull River WMA and protecting Mississippi/Crow Wing	6	1.5	1.5	0	1	2	6	4	3	6	6	1	1	5	4	48
F A 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase III	6	1.50	1.50	1.00	1.50	4	6	6	3	6	6	6	6	1	2	57.5
F A 05	Protecting Pinelands Sands Forest and Aquatic Habitat Phase 2	6	1.50	1.50	1.00	1.00	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	1	4	63



Maximum score per request is **72 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
		Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of 0 OR 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	72
P A 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 7	6	1	1.5	1.5	1	3	6	3	3	6	6	3	6	0	6	53.0
P A 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase 7	6	1	1.5	1.5	0.5	3	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	3	6	64.5
P A 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase 6	6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	3	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	69.0
P A 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition, Phase 6	6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	3	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	69.0
P A 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex Phase V	6	1.5	1	1.5	1.5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	71.5
P A 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection	6	1.5	1	1.5	1.5	3	6	3	6	6	6	6	6	0	3	56.5
P A 07	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water-V	6	1	1	1.5	0.5	3	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	3	64.0
P A 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley	6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	3	6	3	6	6	6	6	3	2	3	56.0
P A 09	SW MN Riparian Woodland Habitat Protection - Phase I	3	1.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	3	3	6	6	6	6	3	6	2	3	51.0
P A 10	Protecting and Restoring Minnesota's Important Birds Areas	3	1	1	1.5	1	3	6	6	6	6	6	3	3	6	3	55.5
P A 11	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration -- Phase 1	6	1.5	1	1.5	1	6	6	6	6	6	6	3	6	6	6	68.0
P A 12	Protection of Granite Rock Outcrop Ecosystem	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	0.0
P RE 01	DNR Grassland Phase 7	6	1.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	3	6	6	6	6	6	3	3	0	3	52.0
P RE 02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands Phase II	6	1.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	3	6	6	6	6	6	3	3	0	3	52.0
F A 01	Young Forest Conservation: Integrated Wildlife Management (Phase II)	6	1	1.5	1.5	1.5	3	6	6	6	6	3	3	3	6	6	59.5
F A 02	Protecting Forest Wildlife Habitat in the Wild Rice River Watershed	6	1	1	1.5	1	3	3	6	6	6	6	3	3	4	6	56.5
F A 03	Camp Ripley ACUB Phase 5 - Buffering the Gull River WMA and protecting Mississippi/Crow Wing River	6	1.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	3	6	6	6	6	6	3	3	6	6	61.0
F A 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase III	6	1.0	0.5	1.50	0.50	3	3	6	6	6	6	3	6	2	6	56.5
F A 05	Protecting Pinelands Sands Forest and Aquatic Habitat Phase 2	6	1.5	1.5	1.50	1.50	6	3	3	6	6	6	3	6	2	6	59.0
F A 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands Cass County VI	6	0.5	0.5	1.50	0.50	3	3	3	6	6	6	3	6	2	3	50.0
F A 07	State Forest Acquisition Phase 3 - Protecting Forest Habitat in the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest	6	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	3	3	3	6	6	6	3	6	2	3	50.0
F A 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program: Phase 3	3	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.5	3	3	6	3	6	6	3	6	3	6	51.0



Maximum score per request is **72 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score possible
		Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate of Scale of: 0 thru 1.5	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	Rate of Scale of 0 OR 6	Rate on Scale of: 0 thru 6	72
P A 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 7	3	0.5	0.5	0	0.7	3	5	1	5	6	6	1	5	3	4	43.7
P A 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase 7	4	1	1	0.5	0.5	4	4	4	5	4	6	2	6	4	4	50
P A 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase 6	4	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	4	6	4	3	4	5	2	6	4	4	48.5
P A 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition, Phase 6	5	0.5	0.5	0	1	4	6	4	5	5	6	2	6	4	4	53
P A 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex Phase V	4	0.5	1.5	0.5	0.5	5	6	6	5	4	6	1	6	4	4	54
P A 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection	5	1	0.5	1	0.5	4	5	4	6	4	6	1	5	3	4	50
P A 07	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water-V	2	0.5	0	0	0	2	2	3	2	4	5	2	1	2	2	27.5
P A 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley	4	1.5	1	1	1.5	3	4	4	5	4	6	2	5	3	4	49
P A 09	SW MN Riparian Woodland Habitat Protection - Phase I	5	1.5	1.5	0	1.5	5	4	3	4	4	6	1	5	3	4	48.5
P A 10	Protecting and Restoring Minnesota's Important Birds Areas	3	1.5	1.5	1	0.5	4	4	4	3	4	6	1	3	3	4	43.5
P A 11	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration -- Phase 1	2	0.5	1.5	0	1	2	2	3	2	3	6	1	2	3	2	31
P A 12	Protection of Granite Rock Outcrop Ecosystem	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	0
P RE 01	DNR Grassland Phase 7	4	1	1.5	0	1	5	5	2	5	4	4	1	5	3	3	44.5
P RE 02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands Phase II	4	0.5	1.5	0	0.5	6	4	5	4	4	5	2	5	4	4	49.5
F A 01	Young Forest Conservation: Integrated Wildlife Management (Phase II)	3	0.5	1.5	0	1	5	4	4	4	4	6	2	3	4	3	45
F A 02	Protecting Forest Wildlife Habitat in the Wild Rice River Watershed	4	1	1	0	1.5	5	3	4	4	3	5	2	4	3	3	43.5
F A 03	Camp Ripley ACUB Phase 5 - Buffering the Gull River WMA and protecting Mississippi/Crow Wing River	5	1.5	0.5	0	1	5	4	5	4	4	6	1	3	4	4	48
F A 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration Phase III	4	0.50	1.50	0	0.50	4	5	4	5	5	6	2	5	3	4	49.5
F A 05	Protecting Pinelands Sands Forest and Aquatic Habitat Phase 2	4	0.50	1.50	0	0.50	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	3	3	5	41.5
F A 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands Cass County VI	4	0.50	0.50	0	0.50	4	3	5	5	4	6	1	4	3	5	45.5
F A 07	State Forest Acquisition Phase 3 - Protecting Forest Habitat in the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest	4	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	3	4	3	4	4	5	2	5	4	4	43.5
F A 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program: Phase 3	4	0.5	1	0	0.5	4	3	4	4	4	6	1	3	4	3	42

Project ID	Project Title	1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target.	2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife	2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern addressed.	2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological Survey data in the program area.	2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of threatened and endangered species.	3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding..	6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of this program	7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust	9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project's success	12. The proposal's budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score Possible
F A 09	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Partnership	3	0.5	1.5	0	2	4	3	4	5	3	5	0	4	5	4	44
F RE 01	Southeast forest habitat enhancement	2	0.5	1.5	0	0	2	3	2	2	5	6	0	1	3	1	29
W A 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase 7	4	1	0.5	0	2	4	5	5	6	4	5	0	5	4	4	49.5
W A 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase IV	5	1.5	1	0	1	4	5	5	6	4	6	0	4	4	5	51.5
W A 03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection Phase IV	4	1	1.5	0	1	4	3	4	3	4	5	0	5	3	5	43.5
W RE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement, Phase 7	2	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	4	3	4	3	4	6	0	4	3	2	36.5
W RE 02	Lake Flora Wetland Trail Project	1	0.5	0.5	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	1	0	4	4	1	21
H A 01	Aquatic Habitat Protection, Restoration, and Enhancement, Phase 7	4	1	0.5	0	0	4	4	3	3	3	4	0	4	4	2	36.5
H A 02	Metro Big Rivers Partnership Phase 6	4	1.5	1.5	0	2	5	5	4	5	4	4	0	5	4	3	48
H A 03	Access to Isolated State Lands for Habitat Management	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	4	6	0	2	0	0	18
H A 04	Elk River Mississippi Natural Area	2	0.5	0.5	0	1.5	4	4	4	4	4	6	0	4	1	4	39.5
H RE 01	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement	5	1.5	1	0	0.5	5	6	6	5	4	6	0	4	5	5	54
H RE 02	Lake Bemidji South Shore Restoration and Enhancement	2	0.5	1	0	1	2	2	4	4	4	5	0	3	3	4	35.5
H RE 03	Biological Control of Invasive Plants	1	0	0	0	1	4	3	2	4	4	6	0	1	2	2	30
H RE 04	Sand Hill River Fish Passage	3	0.5	1.5	0	1	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	4	5	43
H RE 05	Sargent Creek Streambank Stabilization for Aquatic Habitat and Restoration	3	0.5	1	0	1	4	4	3	3	4	6	4	3	2	3	41.5
H RE 06	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program	2	0.5	1	0	0.5	3	3	2	3	4	6	0	3	2	3	33
H RE 07	Lake Nokomis Integrated Habitat Enhancements	1	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	3	2	2	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	33.5
H RE 08	Indian Beach Harbor Ecological Enhancement Mission	1	0.5	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	4	6	0	2	1	4	23.5
H RE 09	White Bear Lake	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	4	6	1	1	1	4	22
CPL 1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase VI	5	1	0.5	0	1.5	4	5	6	5	4	6	1	4	4	3	50
CPL 2	Metro Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase II	3	0.5	0.5	0	1.5	4	5	5	5	4	6	2	3	4	3	46.5
O 1	Contract Management	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O 2	Restoration Evaluations	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	2	2	2	3	3	5	4	4	0	0	28